

01
02
03
04
05 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
06 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

07 JOE N. LOUIS,) CASE NO. C06-1169-RSL-MAT
08)
08 Petitioner,)
09)
09 v.) ORDER FOR RESPONSE TO
10) PETITIONER'S AMENDED HABEAS
10 RON VAN BOENING,) PETITION
11)
11 Respondent.)
12 _____)

13 Petitioner, a prisoner proceeding *pro se*, has filed an amended petition, which he has
14 mistakenly termed a "reply" to respondent's answer. (Dkt. #23). The Court previously granted
15 petitioner leave to file an amended petition. (Dkt. #22). Counsel for respondent did not object to
16 filing of an amended petition, but requested that he be given additional time to file an answer
17 because he is preparing for an oral argument before the United States Supreme Court in April,
18 2007. (Dkt. #21). Accordingly, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS as follows:

19 (1) Respondent shall file an answer to petitioner's amended habeas petition (Dkt. #23)
20 no later than **May 7, 2007**. The answer will be treated in accordance with Local Rule CR 7.
21 Accordingly, on the face of the answer, respondent shall note it for consideration on the fourth
22 Friday after filing. Petitioner may file and serve a response no later than the Monday immediately

01 preceding the Friday designated for consideration of the matter, and respondent may file and serve
02 a reply no later than the Friday designated for consideration.

03 (2) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to petitioner, to counsel for
04 respondent, and to the Honorable Robert S. Lasnik.

05 DATED this 16th day of February, 2007.

06 

07 Mary Alice Theiler
08 United States Magistrate Judge
09
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22